

A

04/14/00
Jc759 U.S. PTO

Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 040000-702

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UTILITY PATENT
APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Jc688 U.S. PTO
09/549559
04/14/00

Box PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the utility patent application of Mats CEDERVALL, Bo GÖRANSSON,
and Joakim SORELIUS for METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTERFERENCE
REJECTION.

Also enclosed are:

- [X] 2 sheet(s) of [X] formal [] informal drawing(s);
- [X] a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and/or 365 is [] hereby made to _
filed in _ on _;
[X] in the declaration;
- [] a certified copy of the priority document;
- [] a General Authorization for Petitions for Extensions of Time and Payment of Fees;
- [] _____ statement(s) claiming small entity status;
- [X] an Assignment document;
- [] an Information Disclosure Statement; and
- [X] Other: Preliminary Amendment
- [X] An [X] executed [] unexecuted declaration of the inventor(s)
[X] also is enclosed [] will follow.
- [X] Please amend the specification by inserting before the first line the sentence --This
application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §§119 and/or 365 to 9901384-9 filed in
Sweden on April 16, 1999; the entire content of which is hereby incorporated by
reference.--
- [] A bibliographic data entry sheet is enclosed.



21839

[X] The filing fee has been calculated as follows [X] and in accordance with the enclosed preliminary amendment:

C L A I M S					
	NO. OF CLAIMS		EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Basic Application Fee					\$690.00 (101)
Total Claims	29	MINUS 20 =	9	x \$18.00 (103)	162.00
Independent Claims	2	MINUS 3 =	-0-	x \$78.00 (102)	-0-
If multiple dependent claims are presented, add \$260.00 (104)					---
Total Application Fee					852.00
If verified Statement claiming small entity status is enclosed, subtract 50% of Total Application Fee					---
Add Assignment Recording Fee if Assignment document is enclosed					40.00
TOTAL APPLICATION FEE DUE					892.00

- [] This application is being filed without a filing fee. Issuance of a Notice to File Missing Parts of Application is respectfully requested.
- [X] A check in the amount of \$ 892.00 is enclosed for the fee due.
- [] Charge \$ _____ to Deposit Account No. 02-4800 for the fee due.
- [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Please address all correspondence concerning the present application to:

Ronald L. Grudziecki
Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: April 14, 2000

By: 

Steven M. du Bois
Registration No. 35,023

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)
)
Mats CEDERVALL et al.) Group Art Unit: Unassigned
)
Application No.: Unassigned) Examiner: Unassigned
)
Filed: April 14, 2000)
)
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR)
INTERFERENCE REJECTION)

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend claims 6 - 10, 12 - 16, 19 - 23, and 28 as follows:

- Claim 6, line 1, delete "any of claims 3 - 5" and insert therefor --claim 3--.
- Claim 7, line 1, delete "any of claims 3 - 5" and insert therefor --claim 3--.
- Claim 8, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 7" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 9, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 8" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 10, line 1, delete "any of claims 1-8" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 12, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 11" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 13, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 11" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 14, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 11" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 15, line 1, delete "any of claims 5 - 14" and insert therefor --claim 5--.

- Claim 16, line 1, delete "any of claims 5 - 14" and insert therefor --claim 5--.
- Claim 17, line 1, delete "any of".
- Claim 19, line 1, delete "any of claims 17 - 18" and insert therefor --claim 17--.
- Claim 20, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 19" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 21, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 20" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 22, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 21" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 23, line 1, delete "any of claims 1 - 22" and insert therefor --claim 1--.
- Claim 28, line 1, delete "any of claims 24-27" and insert therefor --claim 24--.

REMARKS

The above amendments to the claims have been made in order to eliminate multiple dependencies. Favorable action on the merits of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By: 

Steven M. du Bois
Registration No. 35,023

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

Date: April 14, 2000

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTERFERENCE REJECTION

Method and apparatus for rejection of noise and interference from a received combination signal by estimation of the desired signal having in addition to noise and interference been distorted by a communication channel through which the modulated transmitted desired signal has been passed.

DESCRIPTION OF BACKGROUND ART

In digital communication systems a transmitted signal is normally encoded, modulated and transmitted over a communication channel. The signal is sent in form of pulses that can be detected either 0 and 1 and given combinations of these "bits" form symbols with different meanings. One or more signal sequences sent in a packet data stream is called a "burst".

The information signal is sent by modulation of a carrier wave. Different modulation methods exist, of which the most usual are based on amplitude, frequency and/or phase modulation.

It is advantageous to describe different modulation forms by a complex signal representation. The complex signal represents the real physical bandpass signal in an equivalent lowpass representation. Essential features of the different modulation forms can then be represented geometrically. For example in one-dimensional modulation, the signal constellation points are located on a straight line.

Furthermore, there is a difference between linear modulation (different forms of amplitude modulation) and constant envelope modulation (angle-, phase and frequency modulation).

However, almost all modulation methods used in mobile telephony can be interpreted as linear modulation with some modifications. In linear modulation, the modulator and the demodulator carry out some signal handling functions to send information by modulation of a carrier wave.

Whatever the physical medium used for transmission of the signal is, the essential feature is that the channel distorts the signal in different ways. The distorted signal is further corrupted by noise and interference. At the receiver, the signal is reconstructed and demodulated. The reconstructed signal is processed by channel and source decoders to obtain a copy of the transmitted source signal.

In a receiver with only one antenna the demodulator normally tries to combat distortion caused by the communication channel and noise but neglects the effects of interference. In order to derive efficient algorithms, the noise is normally considered to be white. If the noise is not white, a noise whitening filter can be used but this is not normally done since the color of the noise is unknown.

Filtering structures can be used to perform interference rejection. Adaptive or non-adaptive algorithms can be used to adjust the parameters in these filtering structures so that the desired signal can be estimated. After the filtering structures, demodulators demodulate the estimated signal of interest.

There are two classes of methods for choosing the parameter values. Conventional methods rely on the knowledge of training sequences embedded in the desired signals, this knowledge being used to choose an initial setting for the coefficient values, typically according to a least-squares error criterion. In an adaptation by means of a training sequence, the received data are used to find the parameters. Blind methods use one or more properties of the interference or of the desired signals instead of training sequences. Conventional methods that use a known training signal are well known to those skilled in the art of signal processing and are described in the open literature of adaptive signal processing and adaptive filtering.

The desired signal is estimated by a sequence estimator, of which there are several versions known in the art. These estimators make use of algorithms to mathematically calculate the desired signal by using filter parameters.

The most common sequence estimator used in receiver structures is the Maximum Likelihood Sequence Estimator (MLSE). The MLSE is preferably implemented with the so-called Viterbi algorithm. A version of this algorithm is the Soft Output Viterbi Algorithm (SOVA), which improves the performance of the subsequent channel decoding of the signal. The Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) algorithm gives superior performance, but is computationally more difficult. In the Decision Feedback Equalizer (DFE), already decided symbols in the process are used to decide the current symbol. A compromise between MLSE and DFE in performance is the Decision Feedback Sequence Estimator (DFSE), where it is possible to make a trade-off between computational complexity and performance. In the case with more than one antenna, some receivers can also consider the interference.

The main drawback with existing one-antenna solutions is that the algorithms consider only noise. Meanwhile, many communication systems are interference limited. The problem is that when the properties of the interference do not fit the model of the noise for which the receivers were designed, the performance of the receivers is greatly degraded.

The Interference Rejection Combining (IRC) receiver combines the output from antennas while trying to minimize the effect of noise and interference. There is an algorithm in the literature that considers the interference as a colored process. In deriving this algorithm, it is assumed that the noise can be described as a white sequence passed through an Auto Regressive (AR) filter.

The following patent documents are presented as prior art solutions in interference cancellation by means of different filter structures and for estimation of the desired signal by selection of suitable filter parameters for the filter structures.

In WO 98/16021 there is presented prior art solution for extracting a signal of interest from plurality of spectrally and temporally overlapping signals containing digital data. The apparatus of this solution comprises different filters producing time shifted output signals, frequency shifted output signals and linear combining means for summing said output signals and to produce an estimate of said signal of interest.

WO 97/11544 makes use of a noise-predictive maximum-likelihood (NPML) data detection scheme operating on signal samples received via an equalizing filter.

EP 0782260 A2 presents an equalizer configuration for processing real-valued and complex-valued signal samples.

The development of the mobile communication today is towards more and more users, which leads to increasing interference. This means that it is of great importance to find better and better methods for interference rejection and cancellation all the time and that every advantage within this area is useful.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The object of the invention is a better method and apparatus of interference rejection that offers improvements in performance compared to prior art methods.

The methods and apparatus of the invention, which choose the values of the parameters in the filtering structures, are improved methods compared to prior art methods in that a significantly better performance is obtained. The method works with one or more antennas as well as with and without oversampling.

The method of the invention is mainly characterized in that the signal is received as a combination of noise, interference and the distorted desired signal through one or more antennas. The received signal is separated into a real and an imaginary part and the noise and interference component is modeled as a filtered process. By utilizing the combined structure of the signal thus obtained, an equation for the received signal is formed as a function of the desired signal distorted by the communication channel and the noise and interference component. Values for the filter parameters can then be selected in the equation, where after the desired signal can be estimated by calculation from the equation by means of the filter parameters selected.

The apparatus is mainly characterized by

- a) means for receiving a signal as a combination of noise, interference and the distorted desired signal through one or more antennas,
- b) means for separating the received signal into a real and an imaginary part,
- c) means for modeling the noise and interference component as a filtered process,
- d) means for forming an equation for the received signal as a function of the desired signal distorted by the communication channel and the noise and interference component by utilizing the signal structure obtained in steps b) and c),
- e) means for selecting of values for the filter parameters in said equation,
- f) means for estimating the desired signal by calculation from said equation by means of said filter parameters.

In the case when the symbol to be transmitted is one-dimensionally modulated in the complex plane, the present invention solves the interference problem by utilizing this inherent signal structure.

- 5 The desired signal has been one-dimensionally modulated by a sender and thereafter transmitted through a complex valued communication channel.

The received signal is known to be a combination of the transmitted desired signal and noise and interference. Thus, the distortion can be taken into consideration when estimating the desired signal.

- 10 The distortion caused by the communication channel can be modeled mathematically. This model can include the distortion of the desired signal as well as the addition of the interference and noise.

Thus, the distortion is taken into consideration by modeling the channel as a linear filter in said equation so that the output of the filter is formed as a product of the filter vector and the input signal vector, like in the following equation

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(t)$$

where the diacritical marks denotes that the entity to which it is attached is complex-valued.

The received signal is separated into a real and an imaginary part, so that the received signal can be expressed as a function of the time t in the following form

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{d}(t) + \mathbf{n}(t)$$

where the channel matrix has the following form

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{r0} & h_{r1} & \cdots & h_{rL} \\ h_{i0} & h_{i1} & \cdots & h_{iL} \end{bmatrix}$$

25

and

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\tilde{x}(t)) \\ \text{Im}(\tilde{x}(t)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\tilde{n}(t)) \\ \text{Im}(\tilde{n}(t)) \end{bmatrix}$$

30

and where $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is the received signal, \mathbf{d} is the desired signal and \mathbf{n} is the combined noise and interference term and the matrix \mathbf{h} contains the channel coefficients. The channel coefficients model the distortion of the signal through the communication channel.

- 5 The noise can be modeled as a filtered process, for example as an AR-process, in which the noise is described in the following way

$$\mathbf{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{n}(t-k) + \mathbf{e}(t)$$

10 where \mathbf{A} represents the filter parameters, K the number of the filter parameters, t is the time and \mathbf{e} is a white noise vector.

15 Also other filter processes can be used in the invention, for example the MA-process, the mathematical equation of which is known and can be found in the open literature describing filter processes.

20 The channel coefficients and the filter parameters in the modeled process for the desired signal and the noise are calculated by means of a known training sequence from the equation formed by knowledge of the data sent by the signal in the training sequence and by knowledge of the statistical properties of the noise component \mathbf{e} . There exist mathematical algorithms by which \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{A} can be calculated from the equation above when \mathbf{x} is known (being the received signal) and when the statistical properties of \mathbf{e} are known. Examples of such algorithms include least-squares and maximum likelihood methods.

- 25 After having selected the filter parameters and the channel coefficients, the desired signal is estimated mathematically by means of an equalization method using same type of algorithms mentioned above.

- 30 The equalization methods are carried out in a known manner for those skilled in the art and can for example be carried out by means of a sequence estimator. In mathematical terms, the desired signal can for example be estimated by maximum likelihood for the equation giving the received signal, whereby the value for the received signal giving the least error can be obtained by means of a

mathematical algorithm. When the Viterbi algorithm is used, the desired signal can be obtained without being forced to calculate the received signal for all different values of the desired signal. The idea of the mathematical approach is that the received signal is recreated by means of the channel coefficients and the selected filter parameters and different values for the desired signal, where after the recreated value of the received signal is compared with the true value for the received signal to obtain error values for the received signal. The desired signal is then estimated by selecting the sequence giving the least error for the received signal.

The method of the invention can be repeated for each received symbol sequence.

As was mentioned before, there are different known filter process models that can be used in the invention to model the noise signal. These processes can be selected among filter processes usually used to estimate the desired signal itself. In an MA model, the signal is a weighted sum of delayed input components, while in an AR process the signal is a weighted sum of foregoing output values. The noise is preferably modeled as a white noise through an AR filter but also other filter processes can be made use of. An example of another alternative is the MA filter process.

The choice of the number of filter coefficients worth to be taken into consideration, i.e. the order of the AR process, can be estimated adaptively by means of known algorithms. One simple order estimation approach is to choose the largest order that gives a significant decrease in the prediction error. Another way to choose the proper model order is to use each estimated model to estimate a number of transmitted symbols and the corresponding error. The error for different model orders can be calculated recursively, i.e. the parameters calculated in the current step can utilize the parameters calculated in the previous step in order to simplify the computational complexity.

The method of the invention can be made computationally less complex by prefiltering. The basic idea with prefiltering is to decrease the time spread of the channel, thus reducing the complexity of the sequence estimator. The prefiltering can be made by known methods.

It is possible to gain some additional performance if oversampling is used. Oversampling means that the sampling is faster than the bit rate or the symbol rate. By introducing oversampling, more channels are obtained as we get more samples and mathematically this fact can be put in the equation in the term describing the length of the channel and the amount of samples.

- ✓ Prior knowledge of the filter structures can also be used to reduce the amount of parameters. It is then primarily a question about the physical properties of the channel which are utilized in the equation.
- 5 Decision direction is also a well known method of improving the performance of the system. The concept is based on the idea that once all or some of the transmitted symbols are estimated, the values are used in a renewed estimation of the channel and filter parameters. These estimates are then used for renewed estimation of the transmitted symbols.
- 10 The received signal is preferably linearly modulated with a one-dimensional constellation in the method of the invention, but if the received signal has been non-linearly modulated, the signal can in some cases be de-rotated to obtain a linear modulation.. One example of a modulation method that can be de-rotated is MSK (Minimum Shift Keying). Another example is GMSK (Gaussian MSK), which is the modulation scheme in GSM. Although GMSK is a non-linear modulation scheme and cannot be transformed into a linear one, it has been shown that it can be approximated to a linear one with good accuracy.

15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500
505
510
515
520
525
530
535
540
545
550
555
560
565
570
575
580
585
590
595
600
605
610
615
620
625
630
635
640
645
650
655
660
665
670
675
680
685
690
695
700
705
710
715
720
725
730
735
740
745
750
755
760
765
770
775
780
785
790
795
800
805
810
815
820
825
830
835
840
845
850
855
860
865
870
875
880
885
890
895
900
905
910
915
920
925
930
935
940
945
950
955
960
965
970
975
980
985
990
995
1000
1005
1010
1015
1020
1025
1030
1035
1040
1045
1050
1055
1060
1065
1070
1075
1080
1085
1090
1095
1100
1105
1110
1115
1120
1125
1130
1135
1140
1145
1150
1155
1160
1165
1170
1175
1180
1185
1190
1195
1200
1205
1210
1215
1220
1225
1230
1235
1240
1245
1250
1255
1260
1265
1270
1275
1280
1285
1290
1295
1300
1305
1310
1315
1320
1325
1330
1335
1340
1345
1350
1355
1360
1365
1370
1375
1380
1385
1390
1395
1400
1405
1410
1415
1420
1425
1430
1435
1440
1445
1450
1455
1460
1465
1470
1475
1480
1485
1490
1495
1500
1505
1510
1515
1520
1525
1530
1535
1540
1545
1550
1555
1560
1565
1570
1575
1580
1585
1590
1595
1600
1605
1610
1615
1620
1625
1630
1635
1640
1645
1650
1655
1660
1665
1670
1675
1680
1685
1690
1695
1700
1705
1710
1715
1720
1725
1730
1735
1740
1745
1750
1755
1760
1765
1770
1775
1780
1785
1790
1795
1800
1805
1810
1815
1820
1825
1830
1835
1840
1845
1850
1855
1860
1865
1870
1875
1880
1885
1890
1895
1900
1905
1910
1915
1920
1925
1930
1935
1940
1945
1950
1955
1960
1965
1970
1975
1980
1985
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
2025
2030
2035
2040
2045
2050
2055
2060
2065
2070
2075
2080
2085
2090
2095
2100
2105
2110
2115
2120
2125
2130
2135
2140
2145
2150
2155
2160
2165
2170
2175
2180
2185
2190
2195
2200
2205
2210
2215
2220
2225
2230
2235
2240
2245
2250
2255
2260
2265
2270
2275
2280
2285
2290
2295
2300
2305
2310
2315
2320
2325
2330
2335
2340
2345
2350
2355
2360
2365
2370
2375
2380
2385
2390
2395
2400
2405
2410
2415
2420
2425
2430
2435
2440
2445
2450
2455
2460
2465
2470
2475
2480
2485
2490
2495
2500
2505
2510
2515
2520
2525
2530
2535
2540
2545
2550
2555
2560
2565
2570
2575
2580
2585
2590
2595
2600
2605
2610
2615
2620
2625
2630
2635
2640
2645
2650
2655
2660
2665
2670
2675
2680
2685
2690
2695
2700
2705
2710
2715
2720
2725
2730
2735
2740
2745
2750
2755
2760
2765
2770
2775
2780
2785
2790
2795
2800
2805
2810
2815
2820
2825
2830
2835
2840
2845
2850
2855
2860
2865
2870
2875
2880
2885
2890
2895
2900
2905
2910
2915
2920
2925
2930
2935
2940
2945
2950
2955
2960
2965
2970
2975
2980
2985
2990
2995
3000
3005
3010
3015
3020
3025
3030
3035
3040
3045
3050
3055
3060
3065
3070
3075
3080
3085
3090
3095
3100
3105
3110
3115
3120
3125
3130
3135
3140
3145
3150
3155
3160
3165
3170
3175
3180
3185
3190
3195
3200
3205
3210
3215
3220
3225
3230
3235
3240
3245
3250
3255
3260
3265
3270
3275
3280
3285
3290
3295
3300
3305
3310
3315
3320
3325
3330
3335
3340
3345
3350
3355
3360
3365
3370
3375
3380
3385
3390
3395
3400
3405
3410
3415
3420
3425
3430
3435
3440
3445
3450
3455
3460
3465
3470
3475
3480
3485
3490
3495
3500
3505
3510
3515
3520
3525
3530
3535
3540
3545
3550
3555
3560
3565
3570
3575
3580
3585
3590
3595
3600
3605
3610
3615
3620
3625
3630
3635
3640
3645
3650
3655
3660
3665
3670
3675
3680
3685
3690
3695
3700
3705
3710
3715
3720
3725
3730
3735
3740
3745
3750
3755
3760
3765
3770
3775
3780
3785
3790
3795
3800
3805
3810
3815
3820
3825
3830
3835
3840
3845
3850
3855
3860
3865
3870
3875
3880
3885
3890
3895
3900
3905
3910
3915
3920
3925
3930
3935
3940
3945
3950
3955
3960
3965
3970
3975
3980
3985
3990
3995
4000
4005
4010
4015
4020
4025
4030
4035
4040
4045
4050
4055
4060
4065
4070
4075
4080
4085
4090
4095
4100
4105
4110
4115
4120
4125
4130
4135
4140
4145
4150
4155
4160
4165
4170
4175
4180
4185
4190
4195
4200
4205
4210
4215
4220
4225
4230
4235
4240
4245
4250
4255
4260
4265
4270
4275
4280
4285
4290
4295
4300
4305
4310
4315
4320
4325
4330
4335
4340
4345
4350
4355
4360
4365
4370
4375
4380
4385
4390
4395
4400
4405
4410
4415
4420
4425
4430
4435
4440
4445
4450
4455
4460
4465
4470
4475
4480
4485
4490
4495
4500
4505
4510
4515
4520
4525
4530
4535
4540
4545
4550
4555
4560
4565
4570
4575
4580
4585
4590
4595
4600
4605
4610
4615
4620
4625
4630
4635
4640
4645
4650
4655
4660
4665
4670
4675
4680
4685
4690
4695
4700
4705
4710
4715
4720
4725
4730
4735
4740
4745
4750
4755
4760
4765
4770
4775
4780
4785
4790
4795
4800
4805
4810
4815
4820
4825
4830
4835
4840
4845
4850
4855
4860
4865
4870
4875
4880
4885
4890
4895
4900
4905
4910
4915
4920
4925
4930
4935
4940
4945
4950
4955
4960
4965
4970
4975
4980
4985
4990
4995
5000
5005
5010
5015
5020
5025
5030
5035
5040
5045
5050
5055
5060
5065
5070
5075
5080
5085
5090
5095
5100
5105
5110
5115
5120
5125
5130
5135
5140
5145
5150
5155
5160
5165
5170
5175
5180
5185
5190
5195
5200
5205
5210
5215
5220
5225
5230
5235
5240
5245
5250
5255
5260
5265
5270
5275
5280
5285
5290
5295
5300
5305
5310
5315
5320
5325
5330
5335
5340
5345
5350
5355
5360
5365
5370
5375
5380
5385
5390
5395
5400
5405
5410
5415
5420
5425
5430
5435
5440
5445
5450
5455
5460
5465
5470
5475
5480
5485
5490
5495
5500
5505
5510
5515
5520
5525
5530
5535
5540
5545
5550
5555
5560
5565
5570
5575
5580
5585
5590
5595
5600
5605
5610
5615
5620
5625
5630
5635
5640
5645
5650
5655
5660
5665
5670
5675
5680
5685
5690
5695
5700
5705
5710
5715
5720
5725
5730
5735
5740
5745
5750
5755
5760
5765
5770
5775
5780
5785
5790
5795
5800
5805
5810
5815
5820
5825
5830
5835
5840
5845
5850
5855
5860
5865
5870
5875
5880
5885
5890
5895
5900
5905
5910
5915
5920
5925
5930
5935
5940
5945
5950
5955
5960
5965
5970
5975
5980
5985
5990
5995
6000
6005
6010
6015
6020
6025
6030
6035
6040
6045
6050
6055
6060
6065
6070
6075
6080
6085
6090
6095
6100
6105
6110
6115
6120
6125
6130
6135
6140
6145
6150
6155
6160
6165
6170
6175
6180
6185
6190
6195
6200
6205
6210
6215
6220
6225
6230
6235
6240
6245
6250
6255
6260
6265
6270
6275
6280
6285
6290
6295
6300
6305
6310
6315
6320
6325
6330
6335
6340
6345
6350
6355
6360
6365
6370
6375
6380
6385
6390
6395
6400
6405
6410
6415
6420
6425
6430
6435
6440
6445
6450
6455
6460
6465
6470
6475
6480
6485
6490
6495
6500
6505
6510
6515
6520
6525
6530
6535
6540
6545
6550
6555
6560
6565
6570
6575
6580
6585
6590
6595
6600
6605
6610
6615
6620
6625
6630
6635
6640
6645
6650
6655
6660
6665
6670
6675
6680
6685
6690
6695
6700
6705
6710
6715
6720
6725
6730
6735
6740
6745
6750
6755
6760
6765
6770
6775
6780
6785
6790
6795
6800
6805
6810
6815
6820
6825
6830
6835
6840
6845
6850
6855
6860
6865
6870
6875
6880
6885
6890
6895
6900
6905
6910
6915
6920
6925
6930
6935
6940
6945
6950
6955
6960
6965
6970
6975
6980
6985
6990
6995
7000
7005
7010
7015
7020
7025
7030
7035
7040
7045
7050
7055
7060
7065
7070
7075
7080
7085
7090
7095
7100
7105
7110
7115
7120
7125
7130
7135
7140
7145
7150
7155
7160
7165
7170
7175
7180
7185
7190
7195
7200
7205
7210
7215
7220
7225
7230
7235
7240
7245
7250
7255
7260
7265
7270
7275
7280
7285
7290
7295
7300
7305
7310
7315
7320
7325
7330
7335
7340
7345
7350
7355
7360
7365
7370
7375
7380
7385
7390
7395
7400
7405
7410
7415
7420
7425
7430
7435
7440
7445
7450
7455
7460
7465
7470
7475
7480
7485
7490
7495
7500
7505
7510
7515
7520
7525
7530
7535
7540
7545
7550
7555
7560
7565
7570
7575
7580
7585
7590
7595
7600
7605
7610
7615
7620
7625
7630
7635
7640
7645
7650
7655
7660
7665
7670
7675
7680
7685
7690
7695
7700
7705
7710
7715
7720
7725
7730
7735
7740
7745
7750
7755
7760
7765
7770
7775
7780
7785
7790
7795
7800
7805
7810
7815
7820
7825
7830
7835
7840
7845
7850
7855
7860
7865
7870
7875
7880
7885
7890
7895
7900
7905
7910
7915
7920
7925
7930
7935
7940
7945
7950
7955
7960
7965
7970
7975
7980
7985
7990
7995
8000
8005
8010
8015
8020
8025
8030
8035
8040
8045
8050
8055
8060
8065
8070
8075
8080
8085
8090
8095
8100
8105
8110
8115
8120
8125
8130
8135
8140
8145
8150
8155
8160
8165
8170
8175
8180
8185
8190
8195
8200
8205
8210
8215
8220
8225
8230
8235
8240
8245
8250
8255
8260
8265
8270
8275
8280
8285
8290
8295
8300
8305
8310
8315
8320
8325
8330
8335
8340
8345
8350
8355
8360
8365
8370
8375
8380
8385
8390
8395
8400
8405
8410
8415
8420
8425
8430
8435
8440
8445
8450
8455
8460
8465
8470
8475
8480
8485
8490
8495
8500
8505
8510
8515
8520
8525
8530
8535
8540
8545
8550
8555
8560
8565
8570
8575
8580
8585
8590
8595
8600
8605
8610
8615
8620
8625
8630
8635
8640
8645
8650
8655
8660
8665
8670
8675
8680
8685
8690
8695
8700
8705
8710
8715
8720
8725
8730
8735
8740
8745
8750
8755
8760
8765
8770
8775
8780
8785
8790
8795
8800
8805
8810
8815
8820
8825
8830
8835
8840
8845
8850
8855
8860
8865
8870
8875
8880
8885
8890
8895
8900
8905
8910
8915
8920
8925
8930
8935
8940
8945
8950
8955
8960
8965
8970
8975
8980
8985
8990
8995
9000
9005
9010
9015
9020
9025
9030
9035
9040
9045
9050
9055
9060
9065
9070
9075
9080
9085
9090
9095
9100
9105
9110
9115
9120
9125
9130
9135
9140
9145
9150
9155
9160
9165
9170
9175
9180
9185
9190
9195
9200
9205
9210
9215
9220
9225
9230
9235
9240
9245
9250
9255
9260
9265
9270
9275
9280
9285
9290
9295
9300
9305
9310
9315
9320
9325
9330
9335
9340
9345
9350
9355
9360
9365
9370
9375
9380
9385
9390
9395
9400
9405
9410
9415
9420
9425
9430
9435
9440
9445
9450
9455
9460
9465
9470
9475
9480
9485
9490
9495
9500
9505
9510
9515
9520
9525
9530
9535
9540
9545
9550
9555
9560
9565
9570
9575
9580
9585
9590
9595
9600
9605
9610
9615
9620
9625
9630
9635
9640
9645
9650
9655
9660
9665
9670
9675
9680
9685
9690
9695
9700
9705
9710
9715
9720
9725
9730
9735
9740
9745
9750
9755
9760
9765
9770
9775
9780
9785
9790
9795
9800
9805
9810
9815
9820
9825
9830
9835
9840
9845
9850
9855
9860
9865
9870
9875
9880
9885
9890
9895
9900
9905
9910
9915
9920
9925
9930
9935
9940
9945
9950
9955
9960
9965
9970
9975
9980
9985
9990
9995
10000
10005
10010
10015
10020
10025
10030
10035
10040
10045
10050
10055
10060
10065
10070
10075
10080
10085
10090
10095
10100
10105
10110
10115
10120
10125
10130
10135
10140
10145
10150
10155
10160
10165
10170
10175
10180
10185
10190
10195
10200
10205
10210
10215
10220

conventional receiver is very similar to what is used in most state of the art solutions. It fulfills the demands in the GSM specification with a 2 dB margin. At a BER level of 3% the improvement with the method of invention is about 7 dB. It should be noted that this is an extremely large improvement. Normally an improvement of a fraction of a dB is considered worthwhile. The computational complexity when $K=2$ is about four times the conventional receiver. For the case $K=1$ the improvement is less than 2 dB. The adaptive method that automatically chooses the order of K performs very well also.

In the following, some preferred embodiments of the invention will be described by means of figures and particular mathematical calculation algorithms. One skilled in the art understands that the invention can also be performed with other equalization methods and filter processes than those presented in the figures and that the maximum likelihood criteria equations can vary. In other words, the details of the invention can vary within the scope of the claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES AND PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FORMULAS 1 – 23 describe an estimation of the desired signal for the preferred embodiment of the invention

The performance of the invention compared to a conventional receiver is displayed in Figure 1. The main characteristics of the present invention are schematically described in Figure 2.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES AND PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

An example of a detailed mathematical model for the estimation of the desired signal will now be presented by means of formulas 1 -23. The mathematical calculations themselves are known by one skilled in the art and are used in the invention in which the desired signal is estimated by dividing the signal in a real and an imaginary part and by modeling the noise as a filtered process.

A transmitted signal $d(t)$ signal, which is received in the method of the invention has been linearly modulated and passed through a complex communication channel h . The communication channel cause a distortion on the desired signal and as the received signal is a combination of the distorted

desired signal, and the noise and interference component, the complex-valued signal $x(t)$ can be formed as in equation 1.

The diacritical mark denotes that the corresponding entity is complex-valued and $n(t)$ denotes the additive noise and interference. In equation 1, \mathbf{h} is a vector of the form according to equation 2, where the subscript r and i denotes the real and imaginary part of the coefficients, respectively, and L is the length of the communication channel in bit periods.

The vector $\mathbf{d}(t)$ in equation 1 contains the delayed samples of the transmission symbols and can be expressed as in equation 3 where T denotes the transpose operator.

By separating equation 1 into its real and imaginary counterparts we get equation 4, where \mathbf{h} can be expressed as a matrix according to equation 5, and $x(t)$ and $n(t)$ as in equations 6a and 6b, respectively.

It has to be noted that in equation 4, all entities are real-valued. The model in equation 4 has one input and two outputs. If \mathbf{h} is not degenerate e.g. is identically equal to zero, $d(t)$ can be estimated from both the real and imaginary part of the received signal (the first and the second row of equation 4). Hence it is clear that if $d(t)$ is real-valued there is an inherent redundancy in the received signal. This is the redundancy that will be used to design an interference rejecting receiver

In this embodiment of the invention, we choose to model the noise and interference as an AR process according to equation 7, where $e(t)$ is a white noise with covariance matrix expressed as in equation 8.

Equation 7 can be rewritten as equation 9, where $\mathbf{N}(t)$ can be expressed as in equation 10 and \mathbf{M}_A as in equation 11.

An equation for the received signal will now be formed. Equation 4 can be written in an augmented form according to equation 12, where $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is defined similarly to equation 10 so that $\mathbf{D}(t)$ is written as in equation 13 and \mathbf{H} as in equation 14.

By multiplying equation 12 with \mathbf{M}_A equation 15 is obtained.

If \mathbf{M}_A and \mathbf{H} would be known, the source signal could be estimated by minimizing the maximum likelihood criteria according to equation 16. Different equalization methods exist for this estimation and usually this is done by means of a so called sequence estimator, known in the art. The MLSE (Maximum Likelihood Sequence Estimator) mentioned is implemented by a mathematical algorithm, preferably the Viterbi (or SOVA) algorithm.

In practice \mathbf{M}_A and \mathbf{H} are not known and hence they must be estimated. If we have a training sequence, sent in connection with the desired signal and known by the receiver, i.e. $d(t)$ is known for certain time-indices, the criterion in equation 17 can be used to find the parameters in \mathbf{M}_A and \mathbf{H} as well as in \mathbf{Q} . In general this is a nonlinear minimization which can be numerically cumbersome.

Embodiments of the invention with other filter structures and other orders of the process will now be described. Above the noise and interference has been described as an AR process. If a definition according to equation 18 is made by not considering the structure of \mathbf{B} , the parameters can instead be estimated as in equation 19. These estimates and equation 20 can then be used in the estimation of the unknown transmitted symbols. The minimization problem in equation 19 is a simple linear least square problem, which is well described in the literature. When the simplification in equation 18 is introduced, we get a so called ARX model for the received signal. By the definition in equation 18, we have fixed the order of \mathbf{B} to $L+K$. In one embodiment of the invention \mathbf{B} is set to the order, which is not necessarily fixed to $L+K$. Normally, we would have $M > \text{or} = L$, but the invention is not limited to this case.

There will now be described an embodiment of the invention wherein an adaptive method is used to choose the order of the filter process. Assume for instance that the receiver operates in a pure noise environment, so that $A_1=A_2=0$, or equivalently $n(t) = e(t)$. Then it is desirable to set the order of the AR model to $K=0$ in order not to describe the process with too many parameters. In other words, we want to avoid an over-parameterization of the problem, which might lead to a worse situation than the correct model. A version of the invention in which the model order is adaptive. There are many methods used to determine the model order available in the literature. Most of them rely on some criterion that is based on the magnitude of the estimation errors. These residuals reflect how well the model order fits the data used in the estimation. If we increase the model order, the magnitude of the residuals will always decrease. There is needed a test telling if this decrease is significant or not. In this embodiment of the invention, the magnitude of the residuals is given by the norm of the estimated of the noise covariance matrix \mathbf{Q} . Many order estimation procedures have

been described in the literature that apply to the present invention. Maximum likelihood methods, like the Final Prediction Error (FPE), Akaike's Information criterion (AIC) and its variants (such as the so called BIC and CIC) and Minimum Description length (MDL) are all applicable to the problem treated in the invention. Also sub optimal methods exist. These methods rely on the fact that the covariance matrix of the received signal has a certain rank structure that can be used to infer the order of the system to be identified. A major drawback with the order estimation methods is their computational complexity, why more practical methods are implemented. One simple algorithm that estimates the order of the model is outlined in the following. The algorithm is given for the case $M=K+L$, but can be generalized to general ARX models.

- 1) set $K=0$, $r=1$ - infinity
- 2) estimate the parameters of the systems with the current model order
- 3) evaluate $r_K = \|Q\|$
- 4) If r_K/r_{K-1} is significantly smaller than 1 and $K < K_{\max}$, increase K with one and go to step 2
- 5) Choose the K that gave the latest significant reduction of r_K

The term "significantly" in the algorithm above is defined by a certain threshold. This threshold is a trade-off between the performance in noise and interference environments. The smaller the threshold, the better the performance in noise.

A conceptually different method to choose the proper model order is to use each estimated model to determine a number of transmitted symbols. The resulting metric describing the estimation error from the Viterbi algorithm can then be used instead of the residual. The advantage of this method is that it provides a model order validation, which is independent of the data used in calculating the model.

Another way of having adaptive order selection is to implement the identification algorithm recursively in the order. For scalar systems this method is often referred to as the Levinson-Durbin algorithm (LDA). By extending the conventional LDA to multidimensional systems, it can be used in the present invention resulting in a significant saving in computations compared to standard techniques. The recursion is stopped when the residuals are small enough, thus minimizing the number of necessary operations.

The present invention is computationally more complex than a conventional MLSE receiver, i.e. a receiver wherein an equalization method is used. If it is necessary to reduce the computational complexity, pre-filtering is used. For illustration, $M=K+L$ is considered and a general ARX model is used. The idea is to concentrate the main energy in B into fewer taps i.e. reduce the time dispersion of the filter and then to use the model in the equalizer. The first row of equation 15 is then expressed as equation 21, where the introduced notation has obvious definitions and q^{-1} is the unit delay operator. A pre filter $F(q^{-1})$ is introduced and the filter of equation 21 and equation 22 is obtained. The remaining rows of eq 15 are similarly transformed. The choice of the number of remaining significant taps depends on a trade-off between how much we need to reduce the computational complexity and noise amplification. The determination methods are readily available in the signal processing literature.

An embodiment of the invention is to combine the model of equation 15 with other receiver structures to reduce the computational complexity, while keeping the loss in performance at a modest level. An example is the DFSE algorithm earlier mentioned. The DFSE is well described in the literature. And is directly applicable to equation 15 by one skilled in the art.

The invention can be used with one or more antennas. In equation 1 \tilde{h} would then be an $m \times L+1$ matrix where m is the number of antennas. Correspondingly, in equation 4, h would be an $2m \times L+1$ matrix and $x(t)$ would have $2m$ elements.

It is possible to get some additional performance if oversampling is introduced. Oversampling in this case refers to sampling faster than the bit rate. Typically the oversampling factor, denoted by P , would be equal to 2 or 4, but other values are of course possible. The extension to handle the case with oversampling is very similar to the extension to multiple antennas described above. When oversampling with a factor P , \tilde{h} in equation 1 would be a $P \times L+1$ matrix. Row k of equation 1 would correspond to samples $k, k+P, k+2P, \dots$. Correspondingly, in equation 4, h would be a $2P \times L+1$ matrix and the vector $x(t)$ would have $2P$ elements. By introducing oversampling we get more channels than with symbol rate sampling, which gives larger potential for the interference rejection. On the other hand, the higher the oversampling factor, the higher the correlation between adjacent channels (in h). Therefore there is a limit to what performance gain can be achieved with oversampling.

In one embodiment of the invention prior knowledge of the receiver/transmitter and pulse shaping filter is taken into consideration. In general, when the modulation is linear, the channel is the convolution between the physical channel and a combination of the receiver, transmitter and pulse shaping filters. This latter combined filters is known to the receiver and it is possible to use this information in the demodulation process. It has been shown that the composite channel vector can be written as in equation 23, where \mathbf{G} is a matrix formed from the known filters and \mathbf{c} is a vector with the parameters of the physical channel. This formulation is particularly useful when we use the oversampling described above. The reason is that the different channels all consist of the same physical channels, but differ only in the matrix \mathbf{G} which can be computed in advance. Hence we have greatly reduced the number of parameters in the problem. Receivers using multiple antennas can also benefit from this kind of modeling. In this case the large dimensionality of the problem makes it important to keep the number of parameters to a minimum.

Decision direction is a well-known method to improve the performance of a receiver in a communication system. The concept is based on the idea that once we have estimated all (or a certain number) of the transmitted symbols, we make a hard decision about these symbols and use the decisions to re-estimate the model parameters with increased accuracy. This methodology, which can be repeated a desired number of times, is well documented in the literature. In combination with the method of the invention, decision direction is particularly useful. The reason is that in most practical communication systems, the number of training symbols is small in order not to waste information bandwidth. In the present invention, there are more parameters to estimate than in a conventional receiver, hence the quality of the parameter estimates can be significantly increased if decision direction is used.

The preferred embodiment of the invention is described in Figure 2. The possibly over-sampled complex-valued signal, received with one or more antennas, is split into its real and imaginary part to obtain the vector in Equation 4. This vector is fed to the sequence estimator which determines the transmitted symbols using estimates of the parameters in the filters and of the model in Equations 15-18. These estimates are obtained using an available training sequence, and the number of parameters in the filters are obtained as an output of the order estimation algorithm. The model order can be obtained in several ways. One method described in the present invention relies on a test (described in 1-5 above) on the residuals from the parameter estimation, another method is decision direction from the metric obtained as an output of the sequence estimator; the model order giving the smallest metric when applied to the training sequence is used to estimate the data.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{d}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(t)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = [h_{r0} + ih_{i0} \quad h_{r1} + ih_{i1} \quad \cdots \quad h_{rL} + ih_{iL}]$$

$$\mathbf{d}(t) = [d(t) \quad d(t-1) \quad \cdots \quad d(t-L)]^T$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{h} \mathbf{d}(t) + \mathbf{n}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{r0} & h_{r1} & \cdots & h_{rL} \\ h_{i0} & h_{i1} & \cdots & h_{iL} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\ \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(t)) \\ \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(t)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{n}(t-k) + \mathbf{e}(t)$$

$$E\{\mathbf{e}(t)\mathbf{e}^T(t)\} = \mathbf{Q}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{N}(t) = \mathbf{e}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{N}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{n}(t-K) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_A = [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_1 \cdots -\mathbf{A}_K]$$

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D}(t) + \mathbf{N}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{D}(t) = [d(t) \quad d(t-1) \quad \cdots \quad d(t-L-K)]^T$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{r0} & h_{r1} & \cdots & h_{rL} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ h_{i0} & h_{i1} & \cdots & h_{iL} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{r0} & h_{r1} & \cdots & h_{rL} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{i0} & h_{i1} & \cdots & h_{iL} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_{r0} & h_{r1} & \cdots & h_{rL} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_{i0} & h_{i1} & \cdots & h_{iL} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D}(t) + \mathbf{e}(t)$$

$$\{d(t)\} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D}(t)\|^2_{\mathbf{Q}^{-1}}$$

$$\{\mathbf{M}_A, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{Q}\} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D}(t)\|^2_{\mathbf{Q}^{-1}}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{H}$$

$$\{\mathbf{M}_A, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}\} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}(t)\|^2_{\mathbf{Q}^{-1}}$$

$$\{d(t)\} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{M}_A \mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}(t)\|^2_{\mathbf{Q}^{-1}}$$

$$y(t) = \mathbf{B}_1(q^{-1})d(t) + e_1(t)$$

$$F(q^{-1})y(t) = F(q^{-1})B_1(q^{-1})d(t) + F(q^{-1})e_1(t)$$

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{c}$$

CLAIMS

1. Method of rejection of noise and interference from a received combination signal by estimation of the desired signal having in addition to noise and interference been distorted by a communication channel through which the modulated transmitted desired signal has been passed, characterized by the following steps
 - a) receiving a signal as a combination of noise, interference and the distorted desired signal through one or more antennas,
 - b) separating the received signal into a real and an imaginary part,
 - c) modeling the noise and interference component as a filtered process,
 - d) forming an equation for the received signal as a function of the desired signal distorted by the communication channel and the noise and interference component by utilizing the signal structure obtained in steps b) and c),
 - e) selecting of values for the filter parameters in said equation,
 - f) estimating the desired signal by calculation from said equation by means of said filter parameters selected in the foregoing step.
2. Method of claim 1, characterized in that in step d), said distortion caused by the communication channel is taken into consideration by modeling the channel as a linear filter coefficient in said equation.
3. Method of claim 2, characterized in that said filter parameters and channel coefficient are calculated by means of a known training sequence from the equation formed in step d) by knowledge of the data sent by the signal in said training sequence and by knowledge of the statistical properties of the noise component, whereafter the desired signal is estimated mathematically by means of an equalization method.
4. Method of claim 3, characterized in that the equalization method is carried out by means of a sequence estimator using a mathematical algorithm for the estimation.
5. Method of claim 3, characterized in that in the filter parameters and the channel coefficient mathematical algorithm, the number of the parameters is selected by an order estimation procedure.

6. Method of any of claims 3 - 5, characterized in that in the mathematical algorithm, the desired signal is estimated mathematically by using the maximum likelihood method for the equation giving the received signal, whereby the value for the received signal giving the least error can be obtained without being forced to calculate the received signal for different values of the desired signal.
7. Method of any of claims 3 - 5, characterized in that the received signal is recreated by means of the channel estimate and the filter parameters and different values for the desired signal, the recreated value of the received signal is compared with the true value for the received signal to obtain error values for the received signal, estimating the desired signal by selecting the one giving the least error for the received signal.
8. Method of any of claims 1 - 7, characterized in that the method is repeated for each received symbol sequence.
9. Method of any of claims 1 - 8, characterized in that in step c), the noise and interference is modeled as an AR filter process.
10. Method of any of claims 1-8, characterized, in that in step c) and d) the noise and interference and channel is modelled so that the received channel is described by an ARX model.
11. Method of claim 9, characterized in that the number of filter parameters worth to be taken into consideration in the AR process are estimated adaptively by means of known algorithms.
12. Method of any of claims 1 - 11, characterized in that the received signal has been linearly modulated.
13. Method of any of claims 1 - 11, characterized in that the received signal has been non-linearly modulated, whereby after step a), the signal is de-rotated.

14. Method of any of claims 1 - 11, characterized in that the received signal has been non-linearly, whereby after step a), the signal is approximated with a linearly modulated signal. by means of de-rotation.

5 15. Method of any of claims 5 - 14, characterized in that an adaptive order selection method of the process is used.

16. Method of any of claims 5 - 14, characterized in that a suboptimal method for estimation of the desired signal is used.

10

17. Method of any of claim 15, characterized in that in said adaptive order selection method the adaptive order is estimated by using a threshold test on the residuals.

15

18. Method of claim 15, characterized in that each estimated model of different orders is used to equalize a number of symbols and determine the corresponding metric and using said metric to select said model order.

20

19. Method of any of claims 17 - 18, characterized in that the adaptive order selection method used is a recursive implementation of the identification algorithm.

25

20. Method of any of claims 1 - 19, characterized in that prefiltering is used before the filter process, which is implemented in the equalization method.

21. Method of any of claims 1 - 20, characterized in that oversampling is used to obtain more channels thereby giving larger potential for the system.

22. Method of any of claims 1 - 21, characterized in that in linearly modulated systems, the information of the filter is taken into consideration in the demodulation process.

30 23. Method of any of claims 1 - 22, characterized in that the performance of the receiver is improved by decision direction, whereby the decisions of the received symbols is used in a re-estimating of the same.

24. Apparatus for rejection of noise and interference from a received combination signal having functions for estimation of the desired signal which in addition to noise and interference has been distorted by a communication channel through which the modulated transmitted desired signal has been passed, characterized by

- a) means for receiving a signal as a combination of noise, interference and the distorted desired signal through one or more antennas,
- b) means for separating the received signal into a real and an imaginary part,
- c) means for modeling the noise and interference component as a filtered process,
- d) means for forming an equation for the received signal as a function of the desired signal distorted by the communication channel and the noise and interference component by utilizing the signal structure obtained in steps b) and c),
- e) means for selecting of values for the filter parameters in said equation,
- f) means for estimating the desired signal by calculation from said equation by means of said filter parameters.

25. Apparatus of claim 24, characterized in that said distortion caused by the communication channel is taken into consideration in said equation by the means for forming the equation by modeling the channel as a linear filter coefficient.

26. Apparatus of claim 25, characterized in that said means for selecting of values for the filter parameters in said equation include means for calculating the filter parameters and channel coefficient by means of a known training sequence from the equation by knowledge of the data sent by the signal in said training sequence and by knowledge of the statistical properties of the noise component,
the means for estimating the desired signal from said equation by means of said filter parameters calculating the desired signal by means of a sequence estimator.

27. Apparatus of claim 26, characterized in that the sequence estimator uses a mathematical algorithm for the estimation.

28. Apparatus of any of claims 24- 27, characterized in that the means for modeling the noise and interference uses an AR filter process.

29. Apparatus of claim 28, characterized in that the means for modeling the noise and interference using an AR filter process comprises means for estimating the number of filter parameters worth to be taken into consideration using known adaptive algorithms.

ABSTRACT

The invention relates to an apparatus, and an associated method, in a communication system that is tolerant to interference. The received signal is divided into a real and an imaginary part, and the noise and interference is modeled as a filtered process. The invention solves the interference problem by utilizing the inherent signal structure.

(Publication figure Fig. 2)




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR
UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION**

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET
NUMBER P11914US

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name:

I BELIEVE I AM THE ORIGINAL, FIRST AND SOLE INVENTOR (if only one name is listed below) OR AN ORIGINAL, FIRST AND JOINT INVENTOR (if plural names are listed below) OF THE SUBJECT MATTER WHICH IS CLAIMED AND FOR WHICH A PATENT IS SOUGHT ON THE INVENTION ENTITLED:

METHOD AND APPARATUS OF INTERFERENCE REJECTION

the specification of which

(check one) ☒ is attached hereto,

☐ was filed on _____ as

Application No. _____

and was amended on _____;
(if applicable)

I HEREBY STATE THAT I HAVE REVIEWED AND UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED SPECIFICATION, INCLUDING CLAIMS, AS AMENDED BY ANY AMENDMENT REFERRED TO ABOVE;

I ACKNOWLEDGE THE DUTY TO DISCLOSURE TO THE OFFICE ALL INFORMATION KNOWN TO ME TO BE MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY AS DEFINED IN TITLE 37, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, §1.56 (as amended effective March 16, 1992);

I do not know and do not believe the said invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to said application; that said invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to said application; that said invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of said application in any country foreign to the United States of America on any application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to said application;

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code Sec. 119 and/or 365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate as indicated below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention having a filing date before that of the application(s) on which priority is claimed:

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY			ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER	
COUNTRY/ INTERNATIONAL	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED	
SWEDEN	9901384-9	1999-04-16	X Yes	No
			Yes	No
			Yes	No
			Yes	No
			Yes	No

I hereby appoint the following attorneys and agent(s) to prosecute said application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and to file, prosecute and to transact all business in connection with international applications directed to said invention:




William L. Mathis	17,337	Robert G. Mukai	28,531	Bruce J. Boggs Jr.	32,344
Peter H. Smolka	15,913	George A. Hovance, Jr.	28,223	William H. Benz	25,952
Robert S. Swecker	19,885	James A. LaBarre	28,632	Peter K. Skiff	31,917
Platon N. Mandros	22,124	E. Joseph Gess	28,510	Richard J. McGrath	29,195
Benton S. Duffet, Jr.	22,030	R. Danny Huntingto n	27,903	Matthew L. Schneider	32,814
Joseph R. Magnone	24,239	Eric H. Weissblatt	30,505	Michael G. Savage	32,596
Norman H. Stepno	22,716	James W. Peterson	26,057	Gerald F. Swiss	30,113
Ronald L. Grudziecki	24,970	Teresa Stanek Rea	30,427	Michael J. Ure	33,089
Frederick G. Michaud, Jr.	26,003	Robert E. Krebs	25,885	Charles F. Wieland	33,096
Alan E. Kopecki	25,813	Robert M. Schulman	31,196	Bruce T. Wieder	33,815
Regis E. Slutter	26,999	William C. Rowland	30,888	Todd R. Walters	33,040
Samuel C. Miller, III	27,360	T. Gene Dillahunt	25,423		
Ralph L. Freeland, Jr.	16,110	Patrik C. Keane	32,858		

and:

Address all correspondence to: **Ronald L. Grudziecki, Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis, L.L.P.**
P.O. Box 1404, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

Address all telephone calls to: _____ at (703) 836-6620

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statement and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR Mats Cedervall	SIGNATURE 	DATE 00-02-22
RESIDENCE Långkärrsvägen 40		CITIZENSHIP Swedish
POST OFFICE ADDRESS SE-187 44 Täby Sweden		
FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY Bo Göransson	SIGNATURE 	DATE 00-02-23
RESIDENCE Hagagatan 5		CITIZENSHIP Swedish
POST OFFICE ADDRESS SE-113 48 Stockholm Sweden		
FULL NAME OF THIRD JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY Joakim Sorelius	SIGNATURE 	DATE 00-02-29
RESIDENCE Börjgatan 5 B		CITIZENSHIP Swedish
POST OFFICE ADDRESS SE-753 13 Uppsala Sweden		